

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/512,581	Applicant(s) SOTO ET AL.	
	Examiner Stephen L. Rawlings, Ph.D.	Art Unit 1642	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Stephen L. Rawlings, Ph.D.

(3) _____

 (2) Gregory Sieczkiewicz, Ph.D.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 19 October 2004
Time: 3:30 P.M.
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

5 and 68

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

LARRY R. HELMS, PH.D.
 PRIMARY EXAMINER

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner telephoned Dr. Sieczkiewicz to discuss the merit of the amendment and declaration filed August 16, 2004 and to propose an examiner's amendment to claims 5 and 68 to place this application in condition for allowance. The Examiner also discussed amending the specification to correct typographical errors. Dr. Sieczkiewicz authorized entry of the proposed examiner's amendment..